

Message

---

**From:** Voigt, Gregory [Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov]  
**Sent:** 7/17/2019 7:40:50 PM  
**To:** Greg Busch -MDE- [gregory.busch@maryland.gov]  
**CC:** Adair, Jillian [adair.jillian@epa.gov]; Anna Kasko -MDE- [anna.kasko@maryland.gov]; Melissa Chatham -MDE- [melissa.chatham@maryland.gov]; Dinorah Dalmasy -MDE- [dinorah.dalmasy@maryland.gov]  
**Subject:** RE: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development

Thanks for this quick feedback, Greg. See responses, below.

Gregory Voigt  
USEPA, Region III  
1650 Arch Street, Mail Code 3WD42  
Philadelphia, PA 19103  
215-814-5737

**From:** Greg Busch -MDE- <gregory.busch@maryland.gov>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 17, 2019 3:07 PM  
**To:** Voigt, Gregory <Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov>  
**Cc:** Adair, Jillian <adair.jillian@epa.gov>; Anna Kasko -MDE- <anna.kasko@maryland.gov>; Melissa Chatham -MDE- <melissa.chatham@maryland.gov>; Dinorah Dalmasy -MDE- <dinorah.dalmasy@maryland.gov>  
**Subject:** Re: Anacostia Trash TMDL Development

Greg- We have a few concerns about the letter.

First, the letter doesn't fully describe EPA's role in the TMDL development process. **Agreed. Will call to discuss the backstory on this.** Second, we think the commitment to host a meeting this fall might set a challenging timeline. Could a commitment to a stakeholder meeting be given without a specific time frame? **How about changing 'this fall' to 'later this year'.** Third, instead of saying "planned approach," it might be more appropriate to call it a "proposed approach." You use this language later in the letter, and it recognizes that we're presenting a proposal in order to get stakeholder feedback. **Great suggestion. Done.** Last, instead of saying that "EPA will make every effort to be sure that," would it be more accurate to say, "An invitation to participate in the stakeholder meeting will be sent," or something along those lines? **Changed to: 'The District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (DC Water's) will receive a copy of the written proposal, as well as an invitation to the stakeholder meeting.'**

Given that you are trying to send this out tomorrow, these are our comments based on a quick review.

Gregory C. Busch, P.E.  
Deputy Program Manager, Integrated Water Planning

Maryland Department of the Environment  
1800 Washington Blvd, Baltimore, MD 21230  
Office: 410-537-3901  
Mobile: Ex. 6 Personal Privacy (PP)

On Wed, Jul 17, 2019 at 12:28 PM Voigt, Gregory <Voigt.Gregory@epa.gov> wrote:

Ed, Greg,

As discussed, attached is the letter that we received from DC Water back in March, plus our draft response. We'd like to get this out the door by COB tomorrow, if possible. Let me know if you guys have any comments. Thanks.

-Greg

Gregory Voigt

USEPA, Region III

1650 Arch Street, Mail Code 3WD42

Philadelphia, PA 19103

215-814-5737

-----Original Appointment-----

**From:** Adair, Jillian

**Sent:** Wednesday, March 20, 2019 5:39 PM

**To:** Adair, Jillian; Anna Kasko -MDE-; Dunne, Ed (DOEE; [jeffrey.seltzer@dc.gov](mailto:jeffrey.seltzer@dc.gov); Price-Fay, Michelle; Champion, Jonathan (DOEE); Matthew Standeven -MDE-; Robinson, Matthew (DOEE; Onyullo, George (DOEE; Greg Busch; Melissa Chatham; Peck, Michelle; Voigt, Gregory

**Subject:** Anacostia Trash TMDL Development

**When:** Wednesday, July 17, 2019 11:00 AM-12:00 PM (UTC-05:00) Eastern Time (US & Canada).

**Where:** Ex. 6 PP conf line

#### Agenda

- Share EPA's 6/28/2019 status report to the Courts (attached)
- Public Solicitation Document (attached)
  - Has MDE/DOEE reviewed?
- Discuss TMDL Endpoint Detail Table (attached)
  - Strategy
  - Implementation
  - Monitoring
  - Compliance Determination

I've also attached the meeting notes from our last meeting in case we needed a refresher.

[Click here](#) to complete a three question customer experience survey.